

STATE HOUSING BOARD MEETING Department of Local Affairs Division of Housing 1313 Sherman St., Denver, CO, Room 318 Tuesday, April 14, 2009

AGENDA

1:00 p.m. Convene SHB Meeting - Approval of Minutes Theo Gregory

Director's Comments Kathi Williams

Application Presentations

| <u>Time</u> | Project# | Project Name and Applicant | Presenters |
|------------------|----------|--|-------------------------------------|
| 1:15 p.m. | 09-052 | Imagine! Longmont SmartHome | Denise Selders & Greg Wellems |
| 1:30 p.m. | 09-303 | City of Pueblo NSP Program | Rick Hanger & Ada Clark |
| 1:45 p.m. | 09-305 | Douglas County NSP Program | Rick Hanger & Jennifer Eby |
| 2:00 p.m. | 09-302 | Jefferson County NSP Program | Meghen Duggins & Jacqueline Pickett |
| Approval Process | | | |
| 2:15 p.m. | 09-052 | 09-303 09-305 09-302 | |
| Other Business | | | |
| 2:30 p.m. | | Budget Update | Antoniette Estrada |
| 2:45 p.m. | | Approval of Housing Choice Voucher Program | Teresa Duran |
| 3:00 p.m. | | Codes Rule Making Process | Steve Bernia |

Reasonable accommodation will be provided upon request for persons with disabilities. If you are a person with a disability who requires an accommodation to participate in this public meeting, please notify Trang Van at (303) 866-5154 by April 13, 2009.

| cc: | Susan Kirkpatrick | CHATS | Teresa Duran | Kathi Williams |
|-----|-------------------|------------|--------------|-----------------------------|
| | Rick Hanger | Lynn Shine | Steve Bernia | State Housing Board Members |

STATE HOUSING BOARD MINUTES

Department of Local Affairs, Division of Housing 1313 Sherman St., Denver, CO, Room 318 Tuesday, March 10, 2009

BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT: Theo Gregory, Sally Hatcher, Mike Rosser, Karen Weitkunat and

Suzanne Anarde by telephone.

BOARD MEMBERS ABSENT: Gene Lucero

CDOH STAFF PRESENT: Teresa Duran, Rick Hanger, Denise Selders, Stephanie Morey,

Meghen Duggins, Ann Watts and Autumn Gold.

CALL TO ORDER: Meeting was called to order by Theo Gregory at 1:00 p.m.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES: Minutes from the February meeting were approved.

DEPUTY DIRECTOR'S COMMENTS

Deputy Director Duran provided the Directors comments in the absence of Director Williams. She noted that the Division expected to receive its NSP funding within two days, and informed the Board of additional funding the Division anticipates receiving through the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA). The Division of Housing is now participating in a department-wide effort through the Oracle database to provide new reporting capabilities, including estimates of jobs generated and retained through the projects the department funds. The Joint Budget Committee has proposed an additional \$500,000 in funding for the Housing Development Grant fund, bringing the total proposed amount to \$2,750,000. However, if the economic forecast out of the Governor's Office indicates worsening conditions, Division funding could still be reduced.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S COMMENTS

Board President Gregory welcomed Dept. of Local Affairs Executive Director Susan Kirkpatrick to the meeting and she apprised the Board of the Departments orientation toward any ARRA funds we may receive. The funds will be dispersed using a department wide strategy, "Investments to Strengthen Colorado Communities" that will consider such factors as community proposals, leveraging, opportunities to coordinate with the Governor's Energy Office on weatherization, etc.

APPLICATIONS REVIEWED IN MARCH

Boulder Housing Partners Project Number: 08-045

Broadway West Community

Project Manager & Address: Stuart Grogan, Housing Planner

Boulder Housing Partners

4800 Broadway Boulder, CO 80304 Ph: (720) 564-4644 Fx: (303) 544-9553

Email: grogans@bouldercolorado.gov

Project Address: 3120 Broadway, Boulder CO

Project Description: Boulder Housing Partners (BHP) requests a grant of \$225,000 to help repay a bridge loan for the construction of a new 26-unit apartment building on North Broadway. The site is the former location of BHP's offices, and is next door to the North Boulder Recreation Center. Immediately behind this site is the Broadway East Community, a former public housing site that BHP recently refinanced and renovated (without CDOH funding). The new building's unit mix is designed to complement Broadway East's, and its residents will have access to the new community building and playgrounds at Broadway East. Broadway West will be a three-story structure with underground parking and an elevator, so all units will be both visitable and handicapped adaptable. All units will be affordable at 50% AMI.

Staff Recommendation: Full Funding **Date of Meeting:** March 10, 2009

| Anarde | Full Funding | Rosser | Full Funding |
|---------|--------------|-----------|--------------|
| Gregory | Full Funding | Weitkunat | Full Funding |
| Hatcher | Full Funding | | |
| Lucero | Absent | | |

The Board approved full funding for this project.

William Simpson Project Number: 09-049

Silvercliffs Apartments

Project Manager & Address: William Simpson

Sleeping Indian LLC 328 Riverview Court Canon City, CO 80212

(303) 887-0986

wsimpson53@msn.com

Sharon McKinsey, Regional Housing Development Director Upper Arkansas Area Development Corporation (UAADC)

3224 Independence Road / P. O. Box 510

Cañon City, CO 81215-0510

(719) 269-7687

(719) 275-2907 Fax housing@uaacog.com

Project Address: Lots 1-16, Block 188, Silver Cliff, CO

Project Description: Custer County requests a CDBG grant of \$238,000 for the acquisition of a site for a new rental housing development in Silver Cliff. Custer County would grant the funds to the Upper Arkansas Area Development Corporation (UAADC), and UAADC would lend the funds to the project developer, Sleeping Indian LLC. This loan would be secured by the property, in first position, at 0% interest for 40 years. UAADC would receive loan payments and, as program income, would reinvest them in future CDBG-eligible affordable housing projects. Silvercliffs Apartments will be factory-built, and a mix of single-family and duplex units. There will be a total of 16 units with a mix of 1, 2, & 3 bedrooms; affordable at 30%, 40%, 50% & 60% AMI as shown in the following chart:

Staff Recommendation: Full Funding, conditioned on CHFA not waiving their monitoring requirements

Date of Meeting: March 10, 2009

| Anarde | Full Funding | Rosser | Full Funding |
|---------|--------------|-----------|--------------|
| Gregory | Full Funding | Weitkunat | Full Funding |
| Hatcher | Full Funding | | |
| Lucero | Absent | | |

The Board approved full funding for this project, however, it is conditioned on CHFA not waiving their monitoring requirements.

Town of Breckenridge Project Number: 09-050

Valley Brook Subdivision

Project Manager & Address: Laurie Best, Planner

Town of Breckenridge

P.O. Box 168

Breckenridge, CO 80424

970-547-3112 Fax: 970-547-3132

laurieb@townofbreckenridge.com

Project Address: Corner of Airport Road and Valley Brook Road, Breckenridge

Project Description: The Town of Breckenridge requests a grant of \$750,000 to assist with the infrastructure costs for the Valley Brook Subdivision in Breckenridge, Summit County. The 4.4 acre vacant land is owned by the Town and will be donated to Mercy Housing Colorado, who was selected to be the Developer of the homes. The Valley Brook subdivision will provide workforce housing for a total of 42 homeowners, of which 22 homes will be priced at or below 80% AMI and 20 homes will be priced at or below 120% AMI. There is a mixture of 2 and 3 bedroom floor plans available at both levels of affordability. The Summit Combined Housing Authority will market the homes, provide home buyer education classes, and monitor the ongoing Deed Restrictions. All 42 homes will be permanently affordable (for 99 years).

Staff Recommendation: Full Funding **Date of Meeting:** March 10, 2009

| Anarde | Full Funding | Rosser | Full Funding |
|---------|--------------|-----------|--------------|
| Gregory | Full Funding | Weitkunat | Full Funding |
| Hatcher | Full Funding | | |
| Lucero | Absent | | |

The Board approved full funding for this project.

Colorado Housing Assistance Council HERO Alliance Home Buyer Program

Project Manager & Address: Michelle Mitchell, President

Colorado Housing Assistance Corp. (CHAC)

670 Santa Fe Drive Denver, CO 80204 303-572-9445 303-573-9214 Fax mmitchell0@aol.com

Project Address: Various – Statewide Program

Project Description: The Colorado Housing Assistance Council (CHAC) requests a grant of \$500,000 for their on-going statewide down payment assistance for people with disabilities. CHAC operates this program for the HERO Alliance, a statewide collaboration of funding sources and service providers. Potential homeowners receive housing counseling and assistance in order to secure low-interest mortgages from Rural Development, CHFA, and banks. This grant would assist 60 households who are at or below 80% AMI.

Staff Recommendation: Partial Funding of \$292,000 to continue this program through September 2009 and assist in aligning this program with the new CDOH funding cycles.

Date of Meeting: March 10, 2009

Project Number: 09-051

Project Number: 09-045

| Anarde | Partial Funding per Staff Recommendation | Rosser | Partial Funding per Staff Recommendation |
|---------|--|-----------|--|
| Gregory | Partial Funding per Staff Recommendation | Weitkunat | Partial Funding per Staff Recommendation |
| Hatcher | Partial Funding per Staff Recommendation | | |
| Lucero | Absent | | |

The Board approved partial funding for this project per staff recommendation.

Brothers Redevelopment, Inc.

Foreclosure Hotline

Project Manager & Address: Stephanie Riggi, Hotline Manager

Brothers Redevelopment, Inc.

2250 Eaton Street / Garden Level B

Edgewater, CO 80214 303-202-6340 x4230

Stephanie@brothersredevelopment.org

Project Address: 2250 Eaton Street, Garden Level B, Edgewater, CO 80214

Project Description: Brothers Redevelopment, Inc (BRI) is requesting \$188,451 to provide operating costs for the Colorado Foreclosure Hotline. BRI was the successful bidder for the original Hotline call center contract executed in 2007. The Foreclosure Hotline is a referral service that directs homeowners within a network of over 25 HUD-approved housing counseling agencies. The individual agencies provide 1-on-1 counseling to households that are at risk for foreclosure throughout the state. The funding will provide for Hotline telephony services and for the four-person staff that presently runs the Hotline and monitors counseling outcomes in the state.

The funding is to be allocated out of the \$500,000 in funds recently granted to the Division of Housing by the Colorado Attorney General's office. The \$500,000 was granted out of a 6 million dollar settlement resulting from a series of lawsuits against Countrywide Mortgage. The funds will help ensure that the Hotline will continue to function and be available to the public, free of charge, for the next year.

Date of Meeting: March 10, 2009

Staff Recommendation: Full Funding

| Anarde | Full Funding | Rosser | Abstain |
|---------|--------------|-----------|--------------|
| Gregory | Full Funding | Weitkunat | Full Funding |
| Hatcher | Full Funding | | |
| Lucero | Absent | | |

The Board approved full funding for this project.

OTHER BUSINESS

Competitive Application Cycle Policy - Rick Hanger

- The Board discussed the need to adjust the cycles if economic changes dictate or if experiences shows that the proposed cycle is not working, and determined that there was enough flexibility to do so.
- One community development organization expressed concern that rural agencies would be at a disadvantage by having to compete head to head with urban agencies. The Board considered this concern but believed that the rural agencies would not be at a significant disadvantage and that the policy contained sufficient flexibility to accommodate any issues that might arise.
- o Commissioner Rosser moved that the policy be approved and Commissioner Weitkunat seconded the motion. All Board members in attendance voted for approval.

Codes Program Update: Steve Bernia

Steve reported on the current status of rule-making for factory-built and multi-family homes. The Rules are updated every 6 years, and try to mirror local codes.

In addition, Steve has been working with the industry to create a plan that would allow third parties to

do plan reviews and onsite installation inspections. Because the legislature has not approved the addition of any inspectors to the Codes Section despite the Divisions efforts over the last several years, demand for services has greatly outstripped our ability to meet the need. The resulting backlog of work reached unacceptable levels and pointed to the need to have third party plan reviewers and installation inspectors available. The plan would give manufacturers and installers the option of paying more for quicker turnaround. Codes will approve and monitor the third parties.

Steve had hoped to have the 2009 Rules available for approval at this meeting, but learned last week that receiving funds from the federal economic recovery package is contingent on having adopted the 2009 International Energy Conservation Code. Our proposed 2009 rules only included enforcement of the 2006 standards. The standards are updated every three years, but we've been updating the rules every 6 years. There are some obstacles to adopting the 2009 IECC, but Steve expects to come forward with a new rulemaking next month if all comes together. Since the Rule is still in its public comment period, if changes can be made quickly it will save having to amend it later.

The Board will rely on Steve to come back to it when the Rule is ready to go forward.

On another issue, people buying FEMA trailers have raised a couple of problems. One is that they are not HUD certified for the Colorado standard of a 30-lb. roof load, even though they are capable of bearing that weight. They will need to have a Colorado engineer issue a certification that it can meet the 30-lb. standard. President Gregory expressed concern about possible liability if the purchase of such trailers becomes more common. Secondly, formaldehyde problems have occurred in FEMA trailers that were built by RV manufacturers whose standards do not include one for formaldehyde levels.

Consolidated Plan/Annual Action Plan: Lynn Shine

Lynn reminded the Board of John Parvensky's suggestions from the February meeting, and noted that we have also received a request from an agency in Colorado Springs to make the same changes. Their request was because the shift in economic conditions since the survey was performed in September has increased homelessness.

The board determined that goal #2 should be high priority rather than medium, that goals 6 & 7 should be medium priority, and that goal #8 should be changed to medium priority. Commissioner Hatcher moved that the plan be adopted with the inclusion of the changes above; Commissioner Rosser seconded the motion. The Plan was approved by voice vote. No additional public comments will be considered.

The Board reviewed the status of expired and open Board seats. Theo and Sally have both reapplied, the 6th District seat remains open. Board members were unclear as to whether the next meeting was to be on April 7th or 14th. Teresa Duran promised to send out an e-mail with the correct date.

The meeting adjourned at 4:15 pm

APRIL APPLICATIONS

Imagine! Project Number: 09-052

Longmont SmartHome Group Home

Project Manager & Address: Mary Anderies

Housing Consultant Anderies Consulting 4548 West 33rd Avenue Denver, CO 80212 Telephone: 303-433-4401

Fax: 303-443-6845

Email: Mary@AnderiesConsulting.com

Project Photo:



Project Address: 1608 Otis Street, Longmont, CO 80501

Project Description: Developmental Disabilities Center, d/b/a Imagine!, a 501 (c)(3) non-profit corporation and the Community Centered Board serving Boulder and Broomfield Counties, requests a grant in the amount of \$100,000 to assist with the construction costs of its HUD 811 SmartHome Group Home for very low-income individuals (below 50% AMI) with physical, cognitive, and developmental disabilities at 1608 Otis Street in Longmont, Boulder County. Imagine! Housing Corp. II (a non-profit subsidiary corporation) will operate the property. The home will be a 3,500 sq. ft. fully accessible home and will provide 6 consumer bedrooms and one bedroom for overnight staff. Residents will contribute 30% of their income towards rent and HUD will provide ongoing rental assistance for the balance of the rent amount. The home will showcase cutting-edge technology for enhancing livability for this special needs population.

AFFORDABILITY

| Type of Beds | # of Beds | Income of Beneficiaries (4-person households in Boulder County) |
|----------------------------------|-----------|--|
| CDOH HOME-Assisted Beds (1) 1BR | 1 | ≤ 50% of AMI (\$44,550) |
| Other Affordable Beds (5) 1BR | 5 | ≤ 50% of AMI (\$44,550) |
| Employee Beds (1) 1BR | 1 | unrestricted |
| Total Beds | 7 | |

PROGRAM BUDGET

| Project Activities | Total Project Cost | State Funds Requested | Other Funds | Source | Status |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|-------------|------------------------------------|-----------|
| Acquisition (2 lots) | 120,000 | | 60,000 | City of Longmont (HOME & CDBG) | committed |
| | | | 60,000 | Imagine! Foundation | committed |
| Appraisal & Market Study | 1,000 | | 1,000 | Imagine! | committed |
| Architect/Engineering | 92,150 | | 92,150 | Imagine! | committed |
| Building Permit & Tap Fees | 44,146 | | 19,534 | City of Longmont (discounted fees) | committed |
| | | | 24,612 | Imagine! & HUD | committed |
| Construction | 567,084 | 100,000 | 467,084 | Imagine! & HUD | committed |
| Off-site Infrastructure | 21,475 | | 21,475 | Imagine! | committed |
| On-Site Infrastructure | 69,701 | | 69,701 | HUD | committed |
| Contingency | 19,166 | | 19,166 | HUD | committed |
| Construction Loan Expenses | 32,000 | | 32,000 | HUD | committed |
| Operating Reserve | 2,915 | | 2,915 | Imagine! | committed |
| Developers Fee | 27,199 | | 27,199 | Imagine! | committed |
| Supportive Technology | 180,000 | | 180,000 | Imagine! Foundation | committed |
| Totals | 1,176,836 | 100,000 | 1,076,836 | | |

PROJECT ASSESSMENT FOR Rental New Construction

| Criteria | P | roject I |)ata | | DOH Range |
|--|---|---|------------------------|---------------------------------|---|
| Building Cost | | | | | |
| Cost/Unit/Sq. Ft. | \$197,039 | /Unit | \$333 | /SF | \$135 to \$205 |
| Hard Cost/Unit/Sq. Ft. | \$120,262 | /Unit | \$203 | /SF | \$105 to \$160 |
| Soft Cost/Unit/Sq. Ft. | \$ 56,777 | /Unit | \$ 96 | /SF | \$25 to \$40 |
| Land Cost/unit | \$ 20,000 | /Unit | | | \$10,000 to \$18,000 |
| Hard/Soft Cost | 68% | Hard | 32% | Soft | |
| Cost Effectiveness Rating | | İ | | | |
| DOH subsidy/unit | \$16,667 | | | | \$4,000 to \$10,000 |
| Annual Cost/Person & Rating | \$3,284 | #2 | 40 | years | 1 to 10 Scale |
| Externality Rating | | #8 | | | 1 to 10 Scale |
| Rent Savings Rating | \$2,376 | #3 | | | 1 to 10 Scale |
| Financial Leveraging Rating | | #10 | | | 1 to 10 Scale |
| Composite Score | | #23 | | | 1 to 40 Scale |
| Operating Cost | | | | | |
| PUPA | \$5,294 | | | | \$3,700 to \$4,700 |
| Annual Replacement Reserve | \$468 | | | | \$300 (\$250 for seniors) |
| Debt Coverage Ratio | No debt payment | | | | 1.10 to 1.20 |
| Capitalized Operating Reserve | \$2,915 | (| | | 4 months debt & operating costs |
| Financial Commitments | | İ | • | | |
| Terms of Primary Financing | 0.0% | 40 | years | HUD Forgivable Loan | |
| P.V. Tax Credits | N/A | | | | \$.75 to .85 |
| Other Criteria | | • | • | • | |
| Fully Accessible Units | 6 / 100% | | | | 5% of Units Encouraged |
| Visitable Units | 6 / 100%, plus all | commo | n facilit | ies | All units Encouraged |
| Energy-Efficiency Standard | | Energy Star New Home Stds. (minimum) or | | CDOH Energy Standards Policy | |
| Water Efficient Landscape | Yes | | | | Denver Water Board Recommendation |
| 30% AMI Units | None – although tenants' incomes are normally below 10% AMI | | 5% of Units Encouraged | | |
| DOH requirements | | | | | |
| Priority | Special Needs, Vo | ery low | income | rentals | CDOH Action Plan Goals |
| CDOH Funding Eligibility | HOME, HDG | | | | |
| Minimum Application Criteria | Yes | | | | CDOH Application Minimum Criteria Policy |
| Housing Needs Assessment Supports Project | Yes – 2005 Study City of Longmont | | | | Local Housing Needs Assessment |

Comments:

• Management Capacity

Pro:

- 1. Imagine! is a non-profit corporation which has provided housing and supportive services to people with developmental disabilities and their families in Boulder and Broomfield Counties since 1963. It serves 2,500 people per year. Imagine! has more than 200 full-time staff who provide residential services for 185 people in 21 residential sites consisting of 3 group homes, 17 personal care alternative (PCA) sites, and 58 host homes. It also manages 225 Section 8 housing certificates for its clients.
- 2. In 2000, DOH provided an HDG grant of \$105,000 to Developmental Disabilities Center (now known as Imagine!) for the construction of the Foothills group home in Boulder with 8 beds for seniors with developmental disabilities.
- 3. Mary Anderies is the housing development consultant for this Imagine! project. She has 26 years of experience working with the HUD Section 811 and 202 programs for housing for the disabled and elderly.
- 4. PEH Architects, a Boulder firm in business for 23 years, has a special emphasis on designing housing for the elderly and for persons with Alzheimer's. They have agreed to donate approximately \$30,000 in design fees to the project.
- 5. Golden Triangle Construction, a Longmont general contractor in business since 1977, has provided initial construction cost estimates for the project. The project will be bid out per HUD's procurement guidelines.

Con: None.

• Public/Private Commitment

Pro:

- 1. This project has received a Capital Advance of \$582,900 from a HUD Section 811 forgivable loan. Additionally, HUD will provide on-going rental assistance to the project to subsidize the amount of rent paid by the residents.
- 2. The City of Longmont has provided a total of \$60,000 in HOME and CDBG grant funds to the project. The City will also discount the permit and tap fees by 50%. This amount if anticipated to be approximately \$19,534.
- 3. Imagine! receives Boulder County funds generated by a mil levy. The Imagine! Board of Directors passed a resolution allowing for the use of \$10,000 of Boulder County funds to be used for the Longmont SmartHome group home.
- 4. The Imagine! Foundation was established in 2001 to raise funds from the local community. To date, it has raised more than \$1,800,000. The Foundation has committed \$392,603 in equity to this project.

Con: None.

Market Demand

Pro:

- 1. Imagine! is the single point of entry for people with developmental disabilities seeking housing and services in Boulder and Broomfield Counties. They currently have over 432 people on the waiting list for comprehensive services. About 60 of those would be candidates for residency in a group home such as this one. There will be on-site staff providing assistance to the residents on a 24/7 basis.
- 2. This group home will serve people ranging in age from 25 years to 50 years old with both cognitive and physical disabilities. The SmartHome concept with enhanced technologies will allow for greater independence for residents in their daily lives and will provide for

- greater efficiencies for Imagine! staff with their monitoring of residents' needs.
- 3. The most recent Housing Needs Assessment for Boulder County was completed in 2005. They are anticipating updating that study in the near future. The need for housing for the disabled population is identified in the 2005 study and also in the FY 07-09 Longmont Consolidated Plan.

Con: None.

Explain Variances from ranges:

- The hard costs and soft costs are both higher than the ranges due to the single-story design of the home, the interior finishes required by this special needs population, and the supportive technology components. The land cost is also higher than normal due to the fact that the footprint for this group home is larger than normal and required the purchase of two adjoining lots which are being combined into a single lot.
- The CDOH subsidy is higher than the range due to the small size of the group home (6 beds) which is limited by HUD. The PUPA is higher than the range due to all utilities being paid by the owner, the HOA dues for the subdivision, and the replacement reserve funding level required by HUD. Supportive services are <u>not</u> included in the operating expenses for the property.

Other projects funded in Boulder County since 4/08:

| • | 3/09 – Boulder County HA, Longs Peak Energy Conservation, grant | \$250,000 |
|---|---|-----------|
| • | 12/08 – Thistle Community Housing, CHDO Operating, grant | \$16,000 |
| • | 5/08 – Thistle Community Housing, Cannery Apts., grant | \$750,000 |
| • | 4/08 – City of Longmont, Down Payment Assistance, grant | \$112,054 |

Other projects funded for Imagine! since 4/08: None

Boulder County AMI: \$89,100

Staff Recommendation: Partial funding of \$90,000 to match the local entitlement community financial contribution

Date of Meeting: April 14, 2009

| Anarde | Rosser | |
|---------|-----------|--|
| Gregory | Weitkunat | |
| Hatcher | | |
| Lucero | | |

COLORADO DIVISION OF HOUSING * HOUSING DEVELOPMENT ANALYSIS SPREADSHEET

Project Name: Imagine! Longmont SmartHome Spreadsheet directions are to the right --->

Date: 4/6/09

Applicant: Imagine! PAGE #1

Spreadsheet Version: Application Operating Proforma

| STABILIZED | FIRST YEA | R INCOM | E | | | EXPENSES | |] | |
|-------------------|-------------|-----------|-------------------|---------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|------------|----------------|-------|
| | % AMI | #of units | Sq. Ft. | Monthly Rent | Total Annual Rent | Administrative Expenses | | | |
| | | | ' | , | 0 | · | 3,240 | 9.68% | |
| 1Br/1Ba | | | | | 0 | | 4,358 | | |
| | | | | | 0 | Health Ins. & Benefits | incl above | | |
| 2Br/1Ba | | | | | 0 | Legal & Accounting | 900 | | |
| | | | | | 0 | Advertising | | | |
| 3Br/2Ba | | | | | 0 | Office Supplies | 284 | | |
| | | | | | 0 | Telephone | 1,472 | | |
| Group Home | 50% | 6 | 592 | 465 | 33,480 | Audit | 5,000 | | |
| | | | | | 0 | 34131 | | | |
| | | | | | 0 | Total Administrative Expenses | 15,254 | 45.56% | |
| | | | | | 0 | Operating Expenses | | | |
| | | | | | 0 | Utilities (owner paid) | 5,019 | | |
| | | | | | 0 | Trash Removal | 230 | | |
| | | | | | 0 | Fire & Liability Insurance | 1,244 | | |
| | | | | | 0 | Other | | | 31764 |
| | | | | | | Total Operating Expenses | 6,493 | | 0 |
| | Total units | 6 | Tota | I Rent Income | 33,480 | Maintenance | | | |
| | Total sq ft | 3,554 | | | | Maintenance | | | |
| | | | | arking Income | 0 | Repairs | 4,211 | | |
| | | | La | aundry Income | 0 | Grounds (inc. snow removal) | | | |
| | | | | Other Income | 0 | Other | | | |
| | | | 1 | Total Income | , | Total Maintenance | 4,211 | | |
| | Vac. Rate | 0.05 | | Less Vacancy | -1,674 | HOA Dues | 3,000 | | |
| | | | Effective 0 | Gross Income | 31,806 | Operating Reserve | | unit avg.= 0 | |
| | | | | | | Replacement Reserve | 2,806 | unit avg.= 468 | |
| | | | DEBT SERVICE | CE | | TOTAL ANNUAL EXPENSES | 31,764 | | |
| | | | | 1st Mortgage | 0 | NET OPERATING INCOME | 42 | | |
| | | | | 2nd Mortgage | 0 | P.U.P.A. Expenses * | 5,294 | | |
| | | | | 3rd Mortgage | 0 | * P.U.P.A = Per Unit Per Ann | um Expense | s | |
| | | | TOTAL DEBT | | 0 | | - | | |
| 1 | BEP | 94.87% | Poss D | /S @ 1.1 DCR | 38 | | | | |
| BEP = Break | Even Point | | Project Debt C | overage Ratio | #DIV/0! | | | | |
| Poss D/S @ | 1.1 DCR = F | | ebt Service at a | | erage Ratio | n T | | | |

Neighborhood Stabilization Program (NSP) – DOLA/CDOH Staff Pro/Con Summary

Name: City of Pueblo – Neighborhood Stabilization Program Project Number: 09-303

Project Manager & Address: Ms. Ada Clark

City of Pueblo

Housing and Citizen Services 2631 East 4th Street

2631 East 4th Street Pueblo, Colorado 81001 (719) 553-2845 telephone

(719) 553-2855 fax adaclark@pueblo.us

Overall Application Description and Budget:

Overall Application Description:

The City of Pueblo requests a Neighborhood Stabilization Program (NSP) Tier 1 grant in the amount of \$2,857,610 for two (2) separate projects including the following;

- Multi-family Acquisition/Rehabilitation Project
- Purchase, Rehabilitate and Resale Homeownership Program

OVERALL NSP APPLICATION ASSESSMENT

| Criteria | Project Data | DOH Range |
|---------------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| Obligation of NSP Funds | Yes | 18 Months maximum from date of receipt |
| Households Served | Yes | 100% of funds to 120% AMI or below, 25% of all funds to 50% AMI or below |
| Property Location | Yes | All single-family homes in high risk block groups (7-10) |
| Rehabilitation Standard | Local Code & Energy Star | Minimum of HQS and local codes |
| Home Buyer Education | Yes | All purchasers must complete 8 hours of counseling |
| Sales Price | Yes | Maximum sales price of homes is equal to or less than cost of acquisition and rehabilitation |
| Purchase Discount | Yes | Minimum purchase discount is 5% from appraisal, overall project discount is at least 15% |
| Affordability Period | Yes | All projects will meet affordability period requirements |
| Administrative Funds | 1.73% | Up to 2% of project costs for reporting requirements |
| Cross-cutting Regulations | Yes | Meet requirements of Davis/Bacon, Lead-Based Paint, Uniform Relocation Act, Affirmative Marketing |
| Reporting Ability | Yes | Meet CDOH and HUD reporting requirements |

OVERALL APPLICATION BUDGET

| Activity Type | Total # of Units | # of Units @ 50% AMI or below | # of Units @ 50 – 80% AMI | # of Units @ 80 - 120% AMI | Expected Program Income | Requested Funds |
|--------------------------------|---------------------|--|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|
| 1. Purchase/Rehabilitate | | | | | | |
| Abandoned or Foreclosed | 16 | 16 | 0 | 0 | None | \$1,834,059 |
| Multifamily Properties | | | | | | |
| 2. Purchase/Rehabilitate | | | | | Up to | |
| Abandoned or Foreclosed Single | 3 | | | 3 | \$38,766 | \$381,795 |
| Family Properties | | | | | · | |
| 3. Acquisition and Demolition | 5 | | | 5 | Up to | \$641,756 |
| of Blighted Structures | _ | | | | \$64,610 | ψο 11,720 |
| 4. Pre-Purchase Homebuyer | Included | | | | | |
| Counseling | above | | | | | |
| 5. Establish Funding | _ | | | | | |
| Mechanisms | _ | | | | | |
| 2. Acquisition of Vacant or | | | | | | |
| Abandoned Properties for Land | - | | | | | |
| Banking | | | | | | |
| 2. Acquisition of Vacant | | | | | | |
| Abandoned Properties for | - | | | | | |
| Redevelopment | | | | | | |
| Totals | 24 | 16 | | 8 | | \$2,857,610 |
| NSP Tier 1 Allocation | | | | | | \$,2,857,610 |
| 6. Administration (Reporting) | - | | | | | \$49,367 |

Individual Project Activity Information:

CDOH Project Activity Type: Multi-family Acquisition/Rehabilitation Project (CDOH Project Activity 1)

HUD Eligible Activity Type(s): Activity B – Purchase and Rehabilitation,

Activity E - Redevelopment

Project Photo:





Project Address: 815, 823,827 East 4th Street, Pueblo, Colorado

Project Description:

The City of Pueblo is requesting a \$1,834,059 NSP grant to assist in the purchase and rehabilitation of three (3) multi-family buildings in the 800 block of East Fourth Street, Pueblo, Colorado for use as permanent affordable rental housing for Veterans at 50% AMI or below (rent level set at 25% AMI). The properties are currently abandoned and vacant and are in need of extensive rehabilitation. The City of Pueblo will complete the acquisition and rehabilitation and then convey the ownership of this affordable rental project to Posada, Inc. Posada Inc. is a Community Housing Development Organization (CHDO) that currently owns and manages a number of affordable rental projects. In addition, Posada, Inc. will provide a range of services to these Veteran households including access to medical and dental assistance, mental health treatment, and job training programs.

AFFORDABILITY

| Type of Units | # of Units | Income of Beneficiaries (4-person households) |
|--|------------|--|
| NSP-Assisted Units (16) 1BR, (0) 2BR, (0) 3BR | 2 14 | ≤ 30% of AMI (\$35,850) < 50% of AMI (\$35,850) |
| Other Affordable Units (X) 1BR, (X) 2BR, (X) 3BR | | _ = (,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, |
| Employee (1) & Market Rate Units (12) (X) 1BR, (X) 2BR, (X) 3BR | 0 | |
| Total Units | 0 | |
| | 16 | |

PROGRAM BUDGET

| Project Activities | Total Project Cost | State Funds Requested | Other Funds | Source | Status |
|----------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|--|---------------------|
| Acquisition | \$300,000 | \$300,000 | | | |
| Appraisal & Market Study | \$2,500 | \$2,500 | | | |
| Architect/Engineering | \$273,675 | \$273,675 | | | |
| Building Permit & Tap Fees | \$19,500 | \$19,500 | | | |
| Construction | \$1,640,000 | \$986,993 | \$75,000 \$578,007 | City of Pueblo CDBG City of Pueblo HOME | Committed Committed |
| Contingency | \$165,000 | \$165,000 | | | |
| Developer Fee | \$86,391 | \$86,391 | | | |
| Totals | \$2,487,066 | \$1,834,059 | \$653,007 | | |

PROJECT ASSESSMENT FOR Rental Acquisition w/ Rehab

| Criteria | Pro | oject D | ata | | DOH Range |
|--|--------------|---------|--------|--------------|--------------------------------------|
| Building Cost | | | | | |
| Cost/Unit/Sq. Ft. | \$155,442 | /Unit | \$249 | /SF | \$100 to \$140 |
| Hard Cost/Unit/Sq. Ft. | \$132,781 | /Unit | \$213 | /SF | \$90 to \$120 |
| Soft Cost/Unit/Sq. Ft. | \$22,660 | /Unit | \$36 | /SF | \$10 to \$20 |
| Hard/Soft Cost | 85% | Hard | 15% | Soft | |
| Cost Effectiveness Rating | | | | | |
| DOH subsidy/unit | \$114,629 | | | | \$2,000 to \$10,000 |
| Annual Cost/Person Rating | 1 | | 30 | yrs | 1 to 10 Scale |
| Externality Rating | 8 | | | | 1 to 10 Scale |
| Rent Savings Rating | 1 | | | | 1 to 10 Scale |
| Financial Leveraging Rating | 0 | | | | 1 to 10 Scale |
| Composite Score | 10 | | | | 1 to 40 Scale |
| Operating Cost | | | | | |
| PUPA | \$2,790 | | | | \$3,700 to \$4,700 |
| Annual Replacement Reserve | \$406 | | | | \$300 |
| Debt Coverage Ratio | No debt | | | | 1.10 to 1.20 |
| Capitalized Operating Reserve | None planned | | | | 4 months debt & operating costs |
| Financial Commitments | | | | | |
| Terms of Primary Financing | None | | | | |
| P.V. Tax Credits | None | | | | \$.75 to .85 |
| | | | | | Other Criteria |
| Fully Accessible Units | | | | 8/ 50% | 5% of Units Required |
| Visitable Units | | | | 8 | All units Encouraged |
| Energy-Efficiency Standard | | | I | Energy Star | CDOH Energy Standards Policy |
| Water Efficient Landscape | | | | Yes | Denver Water Board Recommendation |
| 30% AMI Units | | | | 2 / 13% | 5% of Units Encouraged |
| CDOH Funding Eligibility | | | | NSP | |
| Action Plan Priority | | | CDOH | I Activity 1 | CDOH Action Plan Priority |
| Housing Needs Assessment Supports Project | | Asses | ssment | is Pending | Local Housing Needs Assessment |

Individual Project Activity Information:

CDOH Project Activity Type: Purchase, Rehabilitate and Resale Homeownership Program (CDOH Project Activities 2 & 3)

HUD Eligible Activity Type(s): Activity B – Purchase and Rehabilitation,

Activity E - Redevelopment

Project Photo:





Project Address: Varies in allowable block groups

Project Description:

The City of Pueblo is requesting a \$1,057,818 NSP grant to assist in the purchase and redevelopment of five (5) blighted homes for households at 120% AMI and below and the purchase (for use by Habitat for Humanity), rehabilitation and resale of three (3) homes for households at 120% AMI and below for a total of eight (8) homes. The properties are located in the area of greatest need census tracks and will be purchased for an average discount of at least 15% from appraised value. The City of Pueblo will directly operate this program and use a variety of local professionals (appraisers, real estate agents, title companies, contractors) to complete this program. A minimum of eight (8) hours of home buyer counseling will be provided to the potential home buyers through Catholic Charities and Neighborhood Housing Services. Homes will be rehabilitated to meet current local code and Energy Star requirements and will be sold for no more than the cost of the purchase and rehabilitation. Down payment assistance will be provided by through Neighborhood Housing Services on an as needed basis.

AFFORDABILITY

| Type of Units | # of Units | Income of Beneficiaries (4-person households) |
|--|------------|---|
| NSP-Assisted Units Individual unit info @ time of purchase | 8 | ≤ 120% of AMI (\$64,680) |
| Employee & Market Rate Units (X) 1BR, (X) 2BR, (X) 3BR | | unrestricted |
| Total Units | 8 | |

PROGRAM BUDGET

| Project Activities | Total Program Cost | State Funds Requested | Other Funds | Source(s) | Status |
|---|--------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|----------------|-----------|
| Property Acquisition | \$560,000 | \$560,000 | | | |
| Appraisal | \$2,800 | \$2,800 | | | |
| Property Inspection/Construction Estimate | \$3,320 | \$1,500 | \$1,820 | City of Pueblo | Committed |
| Building Permits and Fees | \$3,200 | \$3,200 | | | |
| Construction | \$240,000 | \$240,000 | | | |
| Construction Contingency | \$24,000 | \$24,000 | | | |
| Property Carrying Costs | \$9,600 | | \$9,600 | City of Pueblo | Committed |
| Financing Fees | \$12,000 | \$12,000 | | - | |
| Pre-Purchase Homebuyer Counseling | \$3,000 | \$3,000 | | | |
| Marketing | \$28,000 | \$28,000 | | | |
| Developer Fee | \$111,624 | \$111,624 | | | |
| Other Project Activity Costs: title work, inspections | \$104,000 | \$32,000 | \$72,000 | City of Pueblo | Committed |
| Totals: | \$1,101,544 | \$1,018,124 | \$83,420 | | |

PROJECT ASSESSMENT FOR NSP ACQUISTION, REHAB, RESALE PROGRAMS

| Criteria | Program Data | DOH Range |
|--|------------------------------------|--|
| Purchase & Rehabilitation | | |
| Average Purchase Price | \$70,000 | \$ and \$ Sq. foot |
| Average Housing Rehabilitation Cost | \$33,000 | \$ and \$ Sq. foot |
| Hard Cost | 81% | \$ and \$ Sq. foot |
| Soft Cost | 19% | \$ and \$ Sq. foot |
| Land Cost/Unit | In purchase price | \$ and \$ Sq. foot |
| NSP Subsidy/Unit | \$40,000 average | \$/Unit |
| 1 st Mortgage Information | | |
| Source(s) Terms & Rates | Varies by lender | |
| Home Buyer Equity | Varies by lender | Depends on lender |
| NSP Second Loan Information (if applicable) | varies of render | |
| Current # of Loans in Portfolio | Provided through other sources | |
| Average Loan Amount | " | |
| Current Value of Loan Portfolio | " | |
| Current Amount of Program/Miscellaneous Income On-Hand | " | |
| Projected Annual Program/Miscellaneous Income | 66 | |
| Total # of Loans Since Program Inception | " | |
| Percent Program Costs Covered by Program/Miscellaneous | 66 | |
| # of New Loans | " | |
| # of New Loans From Program/Miscellaneous Income | " | |
| # of New Loans From CDOH Grant | " | |
| Home Buyer Equity | 66 | \$500 Minimum |
| Maximum NSP \$ Loan Amount | " | 4.5 – 8.5% of FHA Limit |
| Loan Term | 66 | |
| Loan Rates | " | 0% Up to Commercial |
| Market Information | | |
| Qualifying Household Income | \$64,680 | Up to 120% AMI, 4 people |
| # of Affordable Homes for Sale | 1,086 | Affordable at 120% AMI |
| Maximum Purchase Price of Homes in Program | \$257,498 | 95% of FHA Limit |
| Average Sale Price of Homes in Program | \$80,000 | |
| Average Price of All Homes for Sale in Market | \$114,078 | |
| Number of Applicants on Waiting List | new program | |
| Geographic Distribution of Projects | Throughout identified block groups | Full coverage in identified block groups |
| Other Criteria | | |
| Energy-Efficiency Standard | Energy Star | CDOH Energy Standards |
| CDOH Funding Eligibility | NSP | |
| Action Plan Priority | DOH Activity 2 | CDOH Action Plan |
| Housing Needs Assessment Supports Project | Assessment is pending | Local Housing Needs Assessment |

Comments:

• Management Capacity

Pro:

- 1. The City of Pueblo has experience in administering HOME and CDBG projects that are similar in nature to the proposed NSP projects. For example, the City of Pueblo has directly completed the purchase and resale of over 90 single-family lots and the purchase and relocation of 20 homes. In addition, the City has an active rehabilitation program and experience with the redevelopment of existing buildings into affordable housing.
- 2. Posada, Inc. has been identified as the local non-profit that will own, manage and provide services for the planned multi-family project and brings their extensive experience in homeless services and housing management.

Con: None.

• Public/Private Commitment

Pro:

- 1. The City of Pueblo is committing over \$650,000 in HOME and CDBG funds to the multi-family rental project.
- 2. The City will utilize their existing system of contractors, realtors, appraisers, non-profits, and the housing authority to assist in completing the projects within the NSP timeframe.
- 3. Down payment assistance will be provided as necessary through other City resources on an as needed basis.
- 4. The City plans to utilize the National Community Stabilization Trust (NCST) to support the purchase and rehabilitation program.

Con: None.

• Market Demand

Pro:

- 1. The City has experience with the housing stock and markets in the areas of greatest need to be served by the NSP projects. Current resale periods in these neighborhoods is averaging 130 days and the city expects the homes in the NSP project to have similar results.
- 2. There is currently no permanent supportive housing for Veterans in Pueblo. Posada, Inc. maintains a list of individuals that could benefit from this type of housing.

Con:

1. The 2008 foreclosure rate in Pueblo was 1 in every 79 homes (ranked 8th highest in the state) and the current unemployment rate is 8.9% (statewide is 7.2%). These factors may influence the ability to resell homes purchased through the NSP projects.

Explain Variances from ranges:

- The multi-family total development costs are above the range due to the significant rehabilitation costs associated with these buildings including accessibility issues, lead-based paint and the stabilization of the foundations.
- The annual operating costs (PUPA) for the multi-family rental project is below the range and is based on actual operating costs of the other Posada, Inc. owned and managed properties.

Other projects funded in Pueblo County since 4/08:

None.

Other projects funded for the City of Pueblo since 4/08:

• None.

County AMI: \$53,900

Staff Recommendation: Full Funding

Date of Meeting: April 14, 2009

| Anarde | Rosser | |
|---------|-----------|--|
| Gregory | Weitkunat | |
| Hatcher | | |
| Lucero | | |

C:_RWH\NSP\2009\Project reviews\09-003PuebloNSPprocon.doc

COLORADO DIVISION OF HOUSING * HOUSING DEVELOPMENT ANALYSIS SPREADSHEET

Project Name: Baum Buildings/Hero Alliance Spreadsheet directions are to the right --->

Date: 4/8/2009

Applicant: City Pueblo PAGE #1

Spreadsheet Version: 1 Operating Proforma

| STABILIZE | ED FIRST YI | AR INCO | ME | | | EXPENSES | | |
|--------------|-------------|------------|-----------------|----------------|---|-------------------------------|-------------|----------------|
| | % AMI | #of units | Sq. Ft. | Monthly Rent | Total Annual Rent | Administrative Expenses | | |
| | 50% | 16 | · | 250 | 48,000 | Management Fee | 20,000 | 41.67% |
| | | | | | 0 | On-site Personnel Payroll | | |
| | | | | | 0 | Health Ins. & Benefits | | |
| | | | | | 0 | Legal & Accounting | 2,100 | |
| | | | | | 0 | | 750 | |
| | | | | | 0 | Office Supplies | 250 | |
| | | | | | 0 | | 600 | |
| | | | | | 0 | Audit | 2,000 | |
| | | | | | 0 | Other | 600 | |
| | | | | | 0 | Total Administrative Expenses | 26,300 | 54.79% |
| | | | | | 0 | Operating Expenses | | |
| | | | | | 0 | Cimies (Cirie Para) | 3,000 | |
| | | | | | 0 | | 840 | |
| | | | | | 0 | Fire & Liability Insurance | 4,000 | |
| | | | | | 0 | Other | | |
| | | | | | | Total Operating Expenses | 7,840 | |
| | Total units | 16 | Tota | al Rent Income | 48,000 | Maintenance | | |
| | Total sq ft | 0 | | | | Maintenance | 2,000 | |
| | | | | arking Income | | Repairs | 2,000 | |
| | | | La | aundry Income | | Grounds (inc. snow removal) | | |
| | | | | Other Income | | Other | | |
| | 1 | | 1 | Total Income | | Total Maintenance | 4,000 | |
| | Vac. Rate | 0.07 | | Less Vacancy | , <u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u> | Real Estate Taxes | | |
| | | | Effective (| Gross Income | 44,640 | Operating Reserve | | unit avg.= 0 |
| | | | | | | Replacement Reserve | | unit avg.= 406 |
| | | | DEBT SERVIC | | | TOTAL ANNUAL EXPENSES | 44,640 | |
| | | | | 1st Mortgage | | NET OPERATING INCOME | 0 | |
| | | | | 2nd Mortgage | 0 | P.U.P.A. Expenses * | 2,790 | |
| 3rd Mortgage | | | | | 0 | * P.U.P.A = Per Unit Per Ann | um Expenses | 3 |
| | | | TOTAL DEBT | SERVICE | 0 | | | |
| | BEP | 93.00% | | /S @ 1.1 DCR | 0 | *Note: | | |
| BEP = Bre | ak Even Poi | nt | Project Debt C | overage Ratio | #DIV/0! | | | |
| Poss D/S | @ 1.1 DCR = | Possible I | Debt Service at | a 1.1 Debt Cov | verage Ratio | - | | |

Neighborhood Stabilization Program (NSP) – DOLA/CDOH Staff Pro/Con Summary

| | Amount |
|----------------------------|-------------|
| HERA/NSP Tier 1 Allocation | \$3,635,661 |
| Current Request Amount | \$2,635,661 |
| Pending Request Amount | \$1,000,000 |
| Balance | \$0 |

Name: Douglas County – Neighborhood Stabilization Program Project Number: 09-305

Project Manager & Address: Ms. Jennifer Eby

Douglas County

Community Development Department

100 Third Street

Castle Rock, Colorado 80104 (303) 660-7460 telephone

(303) 669-9550 jeby@douglas.co.us

Overall Application Description and Budget:

Overall Application Description:

Douglas County requests a Neighborhood Stabilization Program (NSP) Tier 1 grant in the amount of \$2,635,661 for two (2) separate projects including the following;

- Land Bank Purchase Program
- Purchase, Rehabilitate and Resale Homeownership Program

Through a separate application, Douglas County, in mutual agreement with Arapahoe County, will request an additional \$1,000,000 for the Community Housing Development Corporation (CHDA) multi-family acquisition/rehabilitation project.

OVERALL NSP APPLICATION ASSESSMENT

| Criteria | Project Data | DOH Range |
|-------------------------|---|--|
| Obligation of NSP Funds | Yes | 18 Months maximum from date of receipt |
| Households Served | Yes – through separate application | 100% of funds to 120% AMI or below, 25% of all funds to 50% AMI or below |
| Property Location | Yes | All single-family homes in high risk block groups (7-10) |
| Rehabilitation Standard | Local Code & Energy Star | Minimum of HQS and local codes |
| Home Buyer Education | Yes | All purchasers must complete 8 hours of counseling |
| Sales Price | Yes | Maximum sales price of homes is equal to or less than cost of acquisition and rehabilitation |
| Purchase Discount | Yes, will be included in contract documents | Minimum purchase discount is 5% from appraisal, overall project discount is at least 15% |

| Affordability Period | Yes | All projects will meet affordability period requirements |
|---------------------------|-----|--|
| Administrative Funds | 2% | Up to 2% of project costs for reporting requirements |
| Cross-cutting Regulations | Yes | Meet requirements of Davis/Bacon, Lead-Based Paint, Uniform Relocation Act, Affirmative Marketing |
| Reporting Ability | Yes | Meet CDOH and HUD reporting requirements |

OVERALL APPLICATION BUDGET

| Activity Type | Total # of Units | # of Units @ 50% AMI or below | # of Units @ 50 – 80% AMI | # of Units @ 80 - 120% AMI | Expected Program Income | Requested Funds |
|---|----------------------------|---|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|
| 1. Purchase/Rehabilitate | | | | | | |
| Abandoned or Foreclosed | | | | | | |
| Multifamily Properties | | | | | | |
| 2. Purchase/Rehabilitate Abandoned or Foreclosed Single Family Properties | 25 | | | 25 | | \$1,046,422 |
| 3. Acquisition and Demolition of | | | | | | |
| Blighted Structures | | | | | | |
| 4. Pre-Purchase Homebuyer Counseling | Included in 3# above | | | | | |
| 5. Establish Funding Mechanisms | - | | | | | |
| 2. Acquisition of Vacant or Abandoned Properties for Land Banking | 256 | | 128 | 128 | | \$1,589,239 |
| 2. Acquisition of Vacant Abandoned Properties for Redevelopment | - | | | | | |
| Totals | 281 | | 128 | 153 | | \$2,635,661 |

Individual Project Activity Information:

CDOH Project Activity Type: Land Bank Purchase Program (CDOH Project Activity 2)

HUD Eligible Activity Type(s): Activity C – Land Bank Programs

Project Photo:





Ironstone Site Anthology Site

Project Addresses: Ironstone Site – Intersection of Morgan and Ironwood, Parker, Colorado

Anthology Site - Intersection of French Creek and Motsenbocker Road, Parker, Colorado

Project Description:

Douglas County is requesting a \$1,560,500 NSP grant to assist in the purchase and land banking of two (2) vacant, foreclosed residential properties located in Parker, Colorado. The anticipated purchase price is well below the required discount rates required of the NSP Program (estimated at 50 to 60% of appraised value). Douglas County will convey the ownership, property management, and redevelopment responsibilities of the vacant sites to the Douglas County Housing Partnership. The Douglas County Housing Partnership (DCHP) was established in March 2003 and is a multi-jurisdictional housing authority established by the jurisdictions of Castle Rock, Lone Tree and Parker and Douglas County and the local business community.

Ironstone Site -

This foreclosed vacant eleven acre property is zoned for multi-family use and will be redeveloped for use as affordable rental housing. The property is located at the intersection of Morgan and Ironwood in Parker, Colorado. The redevelopment of this site will begin with programming and design in 2009 and construction in 2010 - 2011 with an estimated 204 rental housing units to be constructed on the site. Upon award of NSP funds for this activity, the DCHP will initiate and RFP process to select a project developer. An initial 3rd party financial analysis has been completed for this site redevelopment that supports further project development.

Anthology Site -

This foreclosed vacant 5+ acre property is zoned for townhome development and will be redeveloped for use as affordable for-sale housing. The property is located at the intersection French Creek and Motsenbocker Road in Parker, Colorado. The redevelopment of this site will occur within two to three years with an estimated total of

fifty-two (52) affordable for-sale housing units will be constructed on the site.

PROJECTED PROJECT AFFORDABILITY

| Type of Units | # of Units | Income of Beneficiaries (4-person households) |
|---|------------|---|
| NSP-Assisted Units (Unit Mix not determined) | 128 128 | ≤ 60% of AMI (\$60,800) ≤ 120% of AMI (\$91,200) |
| <u>Total Units</u> | | |
| | 256 | |

PROGRAM BUDGET

| | Total | State Funds | Other | | |
|---|--------------|----------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|-----------|
| Project Activities | Project Cost | Requested | Funds | Source | Status |
| Land Bank Acquisition | \$1,504,000 | \$1,504,000 | | | |
| | | | | Douglas County Housing | |
| Carrying Costs | \$338,371 | | \$338,371 | Partnership | Committed |
| Project Soft Costs (appraisal, inspections, | | | | | |
| environmental, staff project management) | \$85,239 | \$85,239 | | | |
| | | | \$8,300,000 | 1 st Mortgage (for sale) | Pending |
| | | | \$15,600,000 | 1 st Mortgage (rental) | Pending |
| | | | \$3,200,000 | Local Funds | Pending |
| | | | \$8,100,000 | Tax Credit Proceeds | Pending |
| Redevelopment of 256 Housing Units (@ | | | \$500,000 | Deferred Developer Fee | Pending |
| \$169,000 / unit) | \$37,500,000 | | 1,800,000 | Other Grants | Pending |
| Totals | \$39,427,610 | \$1,589,239 | \$37,838,371 | | |

Individual Project Activity Information:

CDOH Project Activity Type: Purchase, Rehabilitate and Resale Homeownership Program (CDOH Project Activities 2)

HUD Eligible Activity Type(s): Activity B – Purchase and Rehabilitation

Project Photo:





Project Address: Varies in allowable block groups

Project Description:

Douglas County is requesting a \$1,027,500 NSP grant to assist in the purchase and resale of an estimated twenty-five (25) homes foreclosed homes for households at 120% AMI and below. The properties are located in the area of greatest need block groups and will be purchased for an average discount of at least 15% from appraised value. Douglas County will subcontract the responsibility to manage and delivery this project to the Douglas County Housing Partnership (DCHP). The Douglas County Housing Partnership is a current provider of a HUD-approved home buyer counseling program and operates a home buyer assistance programs.

DCHP will utilize a variety of local professionals (appraisers, real estate agents, title companies, contractors) to complete this program. The program will use a shared equity model that provides up to 20% of the purchase price as a deferred second loan. A minimum of eight (8) hours of home buyer counseling will be provided to the potential home buyers through the DCHP. Purchased homes are expected to have minimal rehabilitation needs due to their age and upkeep. No program income is expected in the first several years of the program

AFFORDABILITY

| Type of Units | # of Units | Income of Beneficiaries (4-person households) |
|--|------------|---|
| NSP-Assisted Units (Specific units not determined) | 25 | ≤ 120% of AMI (\$64,680) |
| Total Units | 25 | |

PROGRAM BUDGET

| Project Activities | Total Program Cost | State Funds Requested | Other Funds | Source(s) | Status |
|---|--------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|-----------|--------|
| Property Acquisition | \$1,000,000 | \$1,000,000 | | | |
| Appraisal | \$8,400 | \$8,400 | | | |
| Property Inspection/Construction Estimate Building Permits and Fees | \$6,000 | \$6,000 | | | |
| Construction | | | | | |
| Construction Contingency | | | | | |
| Property Carrying Costs | | | | | |
| Financing Fees | | | | | |
| Pre-Purchase Homebuyer Counseling | \$600 | \$600 | | | |
| Marketing | \$2,000 | \$2,000 | | | |
| Developer Fee | | ŕ | | | |
| Other Project Activity Costs: title work, inspections, staff project management | \$10,500 | \$10,500 | | | |
| Totals: | \$1,046,422 | \$1,046,422 | | | |

PROJECT ASSESSMENT FOR NSP ACQUISTION, REHAB, RESALE PROGRAMS

| Criteria | Program Data | DOH Range |
|---|------------------------------------|--|
| Purchase & Rehabilitation | | |
| Average Purchase Price | \$200,000 | \$ and \$ Sq. foot |
| Average Housing Rehabilitation Cost | 0 | \$ and \$ Sq. foot |
| Hard Cost | n/a | \$ and \$ Sq. foot |
| Soft Cost | n/a | \$ and \$ Sq. foot |
| Land Cost/Unit | In purchase price | \$ and \$ Sq. foot |
| NSP Subsidy/Unit | \$40,000 / Unit | \$/Unit |
| 1 st Mortgage Information | | |
| Source(s) Terms & Rates | Varies by lender | |
| Home Buyer Equity | Varies by lender | Depends on lender |
| Market Information | | |
| Qualifying Household Income | \$86.160 | Up to 120% AMI, 4 people |
| # of Affordable Homes for Sale | 181 | Affordable at 120% AMI |
| Maximum Purchase Price of Homes in Program | \$300,000 | 95% of FHA Limit |
| Average Sale Price of Homes in Program | \$200,000 | |
| Average Price of All Homes for Sale in Market | \$335,000 | |
| Number of Applicants on Waiting List | On-hold pending funds | |
| Geographic Distribution of Projects | Throughout identified block groups | Full coverage in identified block groups |
| Other Criteria | | |
| Energy-Efficiency Standard | Energy Star | CDOH Energy Standards |
| CDOH Funding Eligibility | NSP | |
| Action Plan Priority | DOH Activity 2 | CDOH Action Plan |
| Housing Needs Assessment Supports Project | 2009 Housing Needs Assessment | Local Housing Needs Assessment |

Comments:

• Management Capacity

Pro:

- 1. Douglas County has experience in administering CDBG projects that are similar in nature to the proposed NSP projects. In the past several years, the County has provided funds to a variety of affordable housing projects including the Douglas County Housing Partnership down payment assistance programs and the construction of the Lincoln Point Apartments in the Tech Center area.
- 2. The Douglas County Housing Partnership (DCHP) has been identified as the local organization that will manage the land bank and home buyer programs funded through these NSP funds. The DCHP is a current State HOME funds and County CDBG recipient and has maintained an excellent reporting and compliance history.
- 3. RFP processes will be used to obtain the development team for the land bank parcels. Several experienced developers have expressed interest in these projects.

Con:

1. The development of vacant land for multi-family and for-sale properties has not been completed directly by either the County or the Douglas County Housing Partnership. However, these organizations have the resources to determine the role of their own staff and outside professionals to complete successful projects.

• Public/Private Commitment

Pro:

- 1. The Douglas County Housing Partnership will create a land bank carrying cost reserve from their own funds to pay for the costs to hold and maintain the sites until redevelopment (3 to 6 years).
- 2. Douglas County will contribute \$67,530 for administrative costs to operate the homeownership program.
- 3. The redevelopment of the land bank sites will require both public and private investments to ensure success.

Con:

- 1. The final development plans, including financial commitments, have not been completed at this time for either land bank acquisition.
- 2. The requirement regarding the use of 25% of the overall allocation for households at 50% area median income (AMI) or less will be met through a separate project and developer. If the proposed 50% AMI project fails, Douglas County has identified two alternatives; the 50% AMI units at Ironstone and the purchase of single-family homes for use as group homes.

Market Demand

Pro:

1. Affordable multi-family rental and for-sale housing construction in Douglas County is identified as a high need in the recently completed 2009 Douglas County Housing Needs Assessment.

Con:

1. There are a variety of risks associated with the purchase of foreclosed vacant land for future development, including the actual market demand for the housing product at the time of redevelopment. In Douglas County, as indicated in the recently completed Housing Needs Assessment, the market risks are mitigated by the current need for additional affordable housing units.

Explain Variances from ranges:

None

Other projects funded in Douglas County since 4/08:

• None.

Other projects funded for Douglas County since 4/08:

• None.

County AMI: \$76,000

Staff Recommendation: Full Funding

| Anarde | vacant | |
|-----------|--------|--|
| Gregory | Rosser | |
| Hatcher | Lucero | |
| Weitkunat | | |

Date of Meeting: April 14, 2009

Neighborhood Stabilization Program (NSP) – DOLA/CDOH Staff Pro/Con Summary

| | Amount |
|----------------------------|-------------|
| HERA/NSP Tier 1 Allocation | \$6,010,553 |
| Current Request Amount | \$6,010,553 |
| Pending Request Amount | \$0 |
| Balance | \$0 |

Name: Jefferson County- Neighborhood Stabilization Program Project Number: 09-302

Project Manager & Address: Jacqueline Pickett

Director, Community Development

Jefferson County

100 Jefferson County Parkway, Suite 3250

Golden, CO 80419 303-271-8372

jpickett@co.jefferson.co.us

Overall Application Description and Budget:

Jefferson County requests a Neighborhood Stabilization Program (NSP) Tier I grant in the amount of \$6,010,553 for two (2) separate projects, including the following:

- Land Bank Purchase Program
- Purchase, Rehabilitation and Resale Homeownership Program.

OVERALL NSP APPLICATION ASSESSMENT

| Criteria | Project Data | NSP Standard |
|-------------------------|--|--|
| Obligation of NSP Funds | Yes | 18 Months maximum from date of receipt |
| Households Served | Yes | 100% of funds to 120% AMI or below, 25% of all funds to 50% AMI or below |
| Property Location | Yes | All single-family homes in high risk block groups (7-10) |
| Rehabilitation Standard | Yes: local code and Energy Star | Minimum of HQS and local codes |
| Home Buyer Education | Yes: CHAC will provide | All purchasers must complete 8 hours of counseling |
| Sales Price | Yes | Maximum sales price of homes is equal to or less than cost of acquisition and rehabilitation |
| Purchase Discount | Yes: policy to be included in JeffCo's contracts | Minimum purchase discount is 5% from appraisal, overall project discount is at least 15% |

| Affordability Period | Yes | All projects will meet affordability period requirements |
|---------------------------|--|--|
| Administrative Funds | Yes 2% | Up to 2% of project costs for reporting requirements |
| Cross-cutting Regulations | Yes: David-Bacon and URA is N/A. JeffCo will be the lead agency for lead-based paint and fair housing as applicable. | Meet requirements of Davis/Bacon, Lead- Based Paint, Uniform Relocation Act, Affirmative Marketing |
| Reporting Ability | Yes | Meet CDOH and HUD reporting requirements |

OVERALL APPLICATION BUDGET

| Activity Type | Total # of Units | # of Units @ 50% AMI or below | # of Units @ 50 – 80% AMI | # of Units @ 80 - 120% AMI | Expecte d Progra m Income | Requested Funds |
|---|-----------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|---|
| 1. Purchase/Rehabilitate | | | | | | |
| Abandoned or Foreclosed Multifamily Properties | | | | | | |
| 2. Purchase/Rehabilitate | | | 7 | 9 | \$ | \$ 1,075,553 |
| Abandoned or Foreclosed Single | | | | | 254,527 | , ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, |
| Family Properties | 21 | 5 | | | (5%) | |
| 3. Acquisition and Demolition | | | | | | |
| of Blighted Structures | 0 | | | | | |
| 4. Pre-Purchase Homebuyer Counseling | Include d in #2 above | | | | | |
| 5. Establish Funding | | | | | | |
| Mechanisms | 0 | | | | | |
| 2. Acquisition of Vacant or Abandoned Properties for Land | | | | | | |
| Banking | 0 | | | | | |
| 2. Acquisition of Vacant Abandoned Properties for | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 4,910,553 |
| Redevelopment | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| Totals | 21 | 5 | 7 | 9 | \$ 254,527 (5%) | \$ 6, 010,553 |

Individual Project Activity Information:

Project Activity Type: Land Bank Purchase Program (CDOH Project Activity 2)

HUD eligible Activity Type: Activity C – Land Bank Programs



Project Photo:

Project Address: 2200 Jackson St, Golden, CO 80401

Project Description: Jefferson County is requesting a \$1,100,000 NSP grant to assist in the purchase and land banking of a vacant, multi-family residential property located in Golden, Colorado. At acquisition, Jefferson County will convey the ownership to the property to Jefferson County Housing Authority (JCHA). It is anticipated that redevelopment will occur within 2 to 4 years with an estimated 52 units of affordable rental housing for seniors, and JCHA will provide the development and property management responsibilities.

PROJECTED PROJECT AFFORDABILITY

| Type of Units | # of Units | Income of Beneficiaries |
|---|--------------------|---|
| NSP-Assisted Units | | |
| (1) 1BR, (1) 2BR | 2 | <30% AMI (\$22,800) |
| (1) 1BR, (1) 2BR | 2 | ≤40% AMI (\$30,400) |
| (1) 1BR, (1) 2BR | 2 | <50% AMI (\$38,000) |
| Other Affordable Units (3) 1BR, (3) 2BR (3) 1BR, (3) 2BR (9) 1BR, (9) 2BR (8) 1BR, (8) 2BR, | 6 6 18 16 | ≤ 30% AMI (\$22,800) ≤40% AMI (\$30,400) ≤50% AMI (\$38,000) ≤60% AMI (\$45,600) |
| <u>Total Units</u> | 52 | |

• Incomes are for 4-person households in Jefferson County

PROJECT BUDGET

| Project Activities | Total Project Cost | State Funds Requested | Other Funds | Source | Status |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|--|-----------|
| Land Bank Acquisition | \$1,100,000 | \$1,100,000 | | | |
| Project Soft Costs (appraisal, inspections, environmental, staff project management) | \$963,819 | \$9,092 | \$954,727 | other loan | Pending |
| | | | \$1,375,000 | 1 st Mortgage (for sale) | Pending |
| | | | \$6,846,293 | Tax Credit Proceeds | Pending |
| | | | \$200,000 | JeffCo HOME Funds | Committed |
| | | | | Deferred Developer | |
| | | | 308211 | Fee | Pending |
| | | | \$145,273 | other grants | Pending |
| Redevelopment of 52 Housing Units | \$8,874,777 | | | | |
| Totals | \$10,938,596 | \$1,109,092 | \$9,829,504 | | |

Individual Project Activity Information:

Project Activity Type: Purchase, Rehabilitate and Resale Homeownership Program (CDOH Project Activities 2)

HUD Eligible Activity Type(s): Activity B – Purchase and Rehabilitation

Project Description: Jefferson County is requesting a \$4,910,553 NSP grant to assist in the purchase, rehabilitation and resale of 18-21 homes for households at 120% AMI and below. The properties are located in the census block groups of greatest need in Lakewood, Wheat Ridge and Arvada and will be purchased for an average discount of at least 15% from the appraised value. Homes will be rehabilitated to meet current local code and will use Energy Star appliances and upgrades and will be sold for no more than the cost of the purchase and rehabilitation.

Jefferson County will subcontract the responsibility to manage and deliver this project to Wheat Ridge 2020 and another sub-grantee as identified through the request for proposal (RFP) process. Sub-grantees may utilize a variety of local professionals (appraisers, real estate agents, title companies, contractors) to complete this activity. A minimum of eight (8) hours of home buyer counseling will be provided to the potential home buyers through this activity. Jefferson County has an existing partnership with Colorado Housing Assistance Corporation (CHAC) to provide homebuyer education.

PROJECTED PROJECT AFFORDABILITY

| Type of Units | # of Units | Income of Beneficiaries |
|--|-------------|--|
| NSP-Assisted Units (5) single family units (7) single family units (9) | 5 7 9 | ≤50% AMI (\$38,000) ≤80% AMI (\$60,800) ≤120% AMI (\$91,200) |
| <u>Total Units</u> | 21 | |

^{*} Incomes are for 4-person households in Jefferson County



Project Photo:

Project Address: Scattered site in high risk census block groups in Arvada, Wheat Ridge, and Lakewood

PROGRAM BUDGET

| Project Activities | Total Program Cost | State Funds Requested | Other Funds | Source(s) | Status |
|---|--------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|--------------------------------|-----------|
| Property Acquisition | 3,150,000 | 3,150,000 | 0 | | |
| Appraisal | 31,500 | 31,500 | 0 | | |
| Property Inspection/Construction Estimate | 50,000 | 50,000 | 0 | | |
| Building Permits and Fees | 294000 | 294,000 | 0 | | |
| Construction | 630,000 | 630,000 | 0 | | |
| Construction Contingency | 64,000 | 64,000 | 0 | | |
| Property Carrying Costs | 20,000 | | 20,000 | Partner contribution | Pending |
| Financing Fees | | | 0 | | |
| Pre-Purchase Homebuyer Counseling | 180,000 | 80,000 | 100,000 | Jefferson County CDBG funds | Committed |
| Marketing | 11,050 | 11,050 | 0 | | |
| Developer Fee | 387,616 | 387,616 | 0 | | |

| Total | 5,079,285 | 4,818,483 | 260,802 | |
|--|-----------|-----------|---------|--|
| Costs: title work, inspections, project management, start up costs | 261,119 | 120,317 | 140,802 | |
| Other Project Activity | | | | |

PROJECT ASSESSMENT FOR NSP ACQUISTION, REHAB, RESALE PROGRAMS

| | SMENT FOR NSP ACQUISTION, REHAB, RESALE PROGRAMS | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| Criteria | Project Data | CDOH Range | | | |
| Building Cost | | | | | |
| Average Purchase Price | \$277,130 /Unit \$4,849.78 /SF | \$100 to \$140 | | | |
| Average Housing Rehab Cost | \$75,000 /Unit \$3,571 /SF | | | | |
| Hard Cost/Unit/Sq. Ft. | \$234,640 /Unit \$195.53 /SF | \$90 to \$120 | | | |
| Soft Cost/Unit/Sq. Ft. | \$42,490 /Unit \$35.41 /SF | \$10 to \$20 | | | |
| Hard/Soft Cost | 85% Hard 15% Soft | | | | |
| NSP subsidy/unit | \$257,314 92.5% NSP | \$2,000 to \$10,000 | | | |
| Land Cost/Unit | In purchase price | \$ and \$ Sq. foot | | | |
| 1 st Mortgage Information | | | | | |
| Source(s) Terms & Rates | pending | 0% Up to Commercial | | | |
| Home Buyer Equity | pending | Depends on lender | | | |
| Maximum NSP \$ Loan Amount | pending | 4.5 – 8.5% of FHA Limit | | | |
| Market Information | | | | | |
| Qualifying Household Income | \$ 83,880 (4 people) | Up to 120% AMI, | | | |
| # of Affordable Homes for Sale | pending | Affordable at 120% AMI | | | |
| Maximum Purchase Price of Homes in Program | pending | 95% of FHA Limit | | | |
| Average Price of All Homes for Sale in Market | pending | | | | |
| Number of Applicants on Waiting List | 0 | | | | |
| Geographic Distribution of Projects | 6-7 homes in impacted census block groups | Full coverage of impacted census tracks | | | |
| Other Criteria | | | | | |
| Energy-Efficiency Standard | Energy Star Appliances + water efficient landscape | CDOH Energy Standards | | | |
| CDOH Funding Eligibility | NSP | NSP | | | |
| Action Plan Priority | CDOH Activity 2 | CDOH NSP Action Plan | | | |
| Housing Needs Assessment Supports Project | 2003 Housing Needs Assessment + City of Golden Housing Task Force Recommendations | Local Housing Needs Assessment | | | |

Comments:

• Management Capacity

- 1. Jefferson County has experience in administering CDBG projects that are similar in nature to the proposed NSP projects. In the past several years, the County has granted CDBG and HOME funds to a variety of affordable housing projects and programs including self-help housing, rental housing development, and downpayment assistance to sub-grantees throughout the County.
- 2. Jefferson County Housing Authority (JCHA) has been identified as the local organizations that will own the land bank and ultimately develop the property. The JCHA has been a previous State HOME funds and County CDBG recipient and is compliant with their most recent projects.
- 3. RFP processes will be used to obtain the development team for the single family acquisition/rehabilitation/resale program. Several experienced developers have expressed interest in these projects.

Con:

1. The development of vacant land that will be land banked for several years is subject to change depending on external factors including market conditions, economic conditions, and the availability of financing. However, JCHA has the experience to determine the role of their own staff and outside professionals to complete a successful multi-family projects.

• Public/Private Commitment

Pro:

- 1. Jefferson County is covering the cost of homebuyer education through an existing contract with Colorado Housing Assistance Corporation (CHAC).
- 2. The development of the land bank site will require both public and private investments to ensure future success.

Con:

1. The final development plans, including financial commitments, have not been completed at this time for the land bank acquisition.

• Market Demand

Pro:

1. Affordable multi-family rental in the City of Golden has been identified as a high need in the recent report from the Golden City Council.

Con:

- 1. There are a variety of risks associated with the purchase of foreclosed vacant land for future development, including the actual market demand for the housing product at the time of redevelopment. However, there will continue to be considerable demand for affordable senior housing in Jefferson County.
- 2. The requirement regarding the use of 25% of the overall allocation for households at 50% area median income or less will be met through the acquisition/ rehabilitation/ resale project of single-family units. Project developer will need to ensure that qualified applicants are eligible, in order to ensure compliance.

Explain Variances from ranges:

• Costs per units are high because NSP funds comprise 93% of the project cost for the single family activity.

Other projects funded in Jefferson County since 4/08:

• 08-035 Rocky Mountain HDC, Inc, Sheridan Ridge

\$275,000

• 08-026 Lakewood Housing Authority, Maplewood Apartments

\$500,000

Other projects funded for Jefferson County since 4/08:

• none

County AMI: \$ 71,800

Staff Recommendation: full funding

Date of Meeting: 4/14/2009

| Anarde | vacant | |
|-----------|--------|--|
| Gregory | Rosser | |
| Hatcher | Lucero | |
| Weitkunat | | |